

Tackling the ‘homeless deficit’: Housing supply, Ring-fencing and targeting.

Ending homelessness by 2030? Are we serious? September 2024

The Background

- Increased housing supply, including increased social housing.
- However, rising homelessness and long-term homelessness
- Driven by falling exits to declining private rental housing without a compensating increase in exits to newly available social housing.
- This is both a current and historical problem

The Housing Deficit

- The Housing Commission calculates an accumulated housing deficit of around 235,000 homes ...
- *in addition* to the supply needed to meet structural demand
- The Commission argues that this requires an additional housing supply which should be front-loaded into a ten year plan.

The Homeless Deficit

- The 'housing deficit' has a human face – the individuals, couple and families that would have been in those homes
- This is manifested in a number of ways: household size, doubling up, adults living with parents
- But the most extreme manifestation is the 14,429 people (just over 8,000 households) in homeless emergency accommodation
- Almost 5,000 of the households homeless for over 6 months
- How can we ensure that tackling the housing deficit reaches the homeless deficit?

Proposal to tackle accumulated homelessness

Three Pillars

- Defining a group of people in emergency accommodation
- Providing active case management, dedicated resources and problem solving
- Ringfencing a dedicated stream of social housing, shaped to meet their housing needs.

Defining a group of people in emergency accommodation

- To avoid perverse incentives (real or imagined) we want to define a pre-existing, closed group
- Including recently homeless people will target resources at people who will exit with their own resources
- So we proposed ring-fencing the long-term homeless for this measure
- In June, 4,791 households who had been homeless for over 6 months
- Comprising 3,473 single person households and 1,318 families
- Households who have been homeless for 6 months in the last year need to be added to this

Providing active case management, dedicated resources and problem solving

- Case management involves a skilled worker co-ordinating the range of state agencies and supports around the household to solve their homelessness situation
- It is the bedrock of the system for over a decade ... and it works
- But only when there are supports to be co-ordinated.
- Active case management would involve a pathway being devised for each of the target group
- And mobilisation of resources by all appropriate agencies to deliver that.

Ringfencing a dedicated stream of social housing, shaped to meet their housing needs.

- For some of the targeted households a physical home is just about the only support they need.
- For all the households it is an absolutely essential part of the package.
- It won't just happen as a by-product of housing supply
- Even at current levels of social housing supply, dedicating 10% of supply to these long-term homeless families means every one of them could be accommodated by 2030
- With accelerated delivery it should be achieved more quickly

Priorities within priorities

- Saying 'we will get you out of this in five years' is not sufficient for newly homeless or very long-term homeless household
- The accelerated housing delivery programmes proposed by every party allow scope to give a much shorter time-frame for delivery than outlined here
- Within the constraints of housing type, the 1,443 households that have already been homeless for over 2 years would be a priority (1,039 singles and 404 families)
- Other priorities would emerge from the case management review.

Challenge 1: Matching

- Simply ring-fencing existing social housing supply won't match the needs of the targeted households – too few single units, too few larger units, wrong places.
- We need to initiate a stream of social housing which is designed to meet the needs of the target group.
- (Of course, all social housing should match the needs of real households but we need to start somewhere)
- Over time this 'pre-dedicated' stream of social housing would replace 'allocation policy' for this group'
- Homes for the ring-fenced households must be pepper-potted into other social housing and integrated into wider communities

Challenge 2: Fairness

- Priority allocation policies are an essential tool in tackling homelessness but are perceived as ‘unfair’ and ‘creating perverse incentives.’
- The fact that there is little evidence of significant ‘queue jumping’ does not influence political perception.
- This proposal side-steps this issue by prioritising, in a once-off measure, an existing group of households. No-one can alter their behaviour to gain access to this group.
- The first years will rely on ‘allocation’ but over time the shift to ‘pre-dedication’ of housing will reduce conflict at allocation stage.
- Increased supply creates space to clearly mark some housing as redressing the impact of the housing deficit.

Challenge 3: Let's not do it again

- We need to recognise the scale of pent up demand – not just for housing but for homeless accommodation.
- Little would have been achieved if the on-going housing and homeless system continues to generate new long-term homeless households each month.
- The on-going housing/homeless system needs to be geared up to take advantage of a 'new start'
- The 2030 project must not pull resources (housing supply, case management, attention) away from the on-going system
- Prevention of homelessness needs to be improved.

Conclusion

- While homelessness could be 'ended' by 2030, given other priorities and needs this is not a realistic goal to set.
- It is possible to meet real and meaningful milestones by 2030 – for instance ending long-term homelessness
- We need to break down what seems like an insolvable problem into solvable elements, where progress can be seen ...and felt
- Homelessness *is* a housing problem – but not *just* a housing problem: increased housing supply will not make its way to the most vulnerable without additional measures.
- A programme of targeting and ringfencing the already long-term homeless should provide a crucial element in any serious attempt to tackle the homeless deficit and work towards ending homelessness.

Thank you!

